

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/651,115	MEASE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zachary M. Pape	2835	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Zachary M. Pape.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Stephen Weed (45,202).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 20 November 2007

**Time:** N/A

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*Rejection to all claims*

Claims discussed:  
*All*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*Lo and Huges (both of record)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Mr. Weed regarding the submission dated 9/20/2007 and noted that if certain limitations were added, the claims may be allowable. Mr. Weed, on 11/2/2007 submitted, via fax, an amendment to the claims including some of the recommendations from the Examiner. The Examiner then phoned Mr. Weed and suggested that the limitation, "at most three base recesses" be added to each of the claims to read around the Lo, Hughes, and Shah references, Mr. Weed agreed. The Examiner then phoned Mr. Weed regarding claim 21 since "the recesses" lacked antecedent basis. Mr. Weed agreed and authorized the Examiner to change the limitation to read, "the card supporting recesses". The Examiner thanks Mr. Weed for his professionalism. .